A Phase IIa Trial of the New Tuberculosis Vaccine, MVA85A, in HIV- and or Mycobacterium tuberculosis–infected Adults
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Rationale: Novel tuberculosis (TB) vaccines should be safe and effective in populations infected with Mycobacterium tuberculosis (M. tb) and/or HIV for effective TB control. Objective: To determine the safety and immunogenicity of MVA85A, a novel TB vaccine, among M. tb- and/or HIV-infected persons in a setting where TB and HIV are endemic. Methods: An open-label, phase IIa trial was conducted in 48 adults with M. tb and/or HIV infection. Safety and immunogenicity were analyzed up to 52 weeks after intradermal vaccination with 5 × 107 plaque-forming units of MVA85A. Specific T-cell responses were characterized by IFN-γ enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay and whole blood intracellular cytokine staining assays. Measurements and Main Results: MVA85A was well tolerated and no vaccine-related serious adverse events were recorded. MVA85A induced robust and durable response of mostly polyfunctional CD4+ T cells, coexpressing IFN-γ, tumor necrosis factor-α, and IL-2. Magnitudes of pre- and postvaccination T-cell responses were lower in HIV-infected, compared with HIV-uninfected, vaccinees. No significant effect of antiretroviral therapy on immunogenicity of MVA85A was observed. Conclusions: MVA85A was safe and immunogenic in persons with HIV and/or M. tb infection. These results support further evaluation of safety and efficacy of this vaccine for prevention of TB in these target populations.
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Infection with Mycobacterium tuberculosis (M. tb) carries an approximate 10% lifetime risk of developing tuberculosis (TB) disease in individuals without HIV infection. HIV infection may raise this risk more than 10-fold (1), even in those with relatively high CD4+ T-cell counts (2).

Epidemiological modeling suggests that an effective TB vaccine will be critical for TB elimination (3, 4). Although infant bacillus Calmette-Guérin (BCG) vaccination is routine in settings where TB is endemic, BCG confers reliable protection only against severe forms of TB in infancy (5, 6). Protection against pulmonary disease in children and adults is variable and mostly poor (7). A more efficacious TB vaccine for all ages is urgently needed.

Sub-Saharan Africa is affected by a disproportionate burden of the global HIV and TB epidemics. In South Africa, more than 10% of the population is HIV-infected, and almost 1% develop TB annually (8). In these high-burden settings, TB elimination is likely to require mass campaigns targeting adults with a new, better vaccine (9). Modeling suggests that a 92% reduction in TB incidence by 2050 may be achievable if preexposure vaccination of all M. tb-uninfected individuals is combined with mass postexposure vaccination of individuals with latent M. tb infection (LTBI) (4). Given the high prevalence of HIV infection in...
We conducted an open label, phase IIa trial in adults. The protocol and amendments were approved by the Medicines Control Council of South Africa and the Human Research Ethics Committees of the University of Cape Town (Cape Town, South Africa) and the University of Oxford (Oxford, UK). The trial was conducted according to International Conference on Harmonization-Good Clinical Practice guidelines, was externally monitored by an independent contract research organization, and registered at ClinicalTrials.gov (NCT00480558). Written, informed consent was obtained from all participants.

Adults aged 18–50 years were recruited from the general population of Worcester, 110 km from Cape Town, South Africa. The aim was to enroll 12 participants into each of 4 groups, as follows: group 1, healthy, HIV-uninfected volunteers with LTBI; group 2, HIV-infected volunteers with no evidence of LTBI, who were not receiving antiretroviral therapy; group 3, HIV-infected volunteers with LTBI, not receiving ART; group 4, healthy, HIV-infected volunteers who had been stable while receiving ART for more than 1 year, irrespective of LTBI status. LTBI was defined as a positive tuberculin skin test (TST, induration ≥ 10 mm) and a positive response to early secretory antigenic target (ESAT)-6/culture filtrate protein (CFP)-10 and M. tuberculosis protein derivative (PPD) in an IFN-γ ELISPOT assay (12, 13), are being or have been evaluated in HIV-infected subjects, the latter in a phase III efficacy trial.

Here we describe the safety profile and characterize the immune response induced by MVA85A vaccination of M. tuberculosis- and/or HIV-infected persons in a setting where TB and HIV are endemic.

**METHODS**

**Study Design and Enrollment**

We conducted an open label, phase IIa trial in adults. The protocol and amendments were approved by the Medicines Control Council of South Africa and the Human Research Ethics Committees of the University of Cape Town (Cape Town, South Africa) and the University of Oxford (Oxford, UK). The trial was conducted according to International Conference on Harmonization-Good Clinical Practice guidelines, was externally monitored by an independent contract research organization, and registered at ClinicalTrials.gov (NCT00480558). Written, informed consent was obtained from all participants.

Adults aged 18–50 years were recruited from the general population of Worcester, 110 km from Cape Town, South Africa. The aim was to enroll 12 participants into each of 4 groups, as follows: group 1, healthy, HIV-uninfected volunteers with LTBI; group 2, HIV-infected volunteers with no evidence of LTBI, who were not receiving antiretroviral therapy (ART); group 3, HIV-infected volunteers with LTBI, not receiving ART; group 4, healthy, HIV-infected volunteers who had been stable while receiving ART for more than 1 year, irrespective of LTBI status. LTBI was defined as a positive tuberculin skin test (TST, induration ≥ 10 mm) and a positive response to early secretory antigenic target (ESAT)-6/culture filtrate protein (CFP)-10 and M. tuberculosis protein derivative (PPD) in an IFN-γ ELISPOT assay (see below and the online supplement). For groups 2 and 3, we enrolled individuals with HIV infection diagnosed more than 3 months before screening. HIV infection was confirmed by an HIV antigen/antibody combination assay (Abbott, Johannesburg, South Africa). Individuals were included only if they had a CD4 count above 300 cells/mm³. “Stable on ART” was defined as at least 1 year of continuous therapy, with undetectable plasma viral load (pVL, below 40 RNA copies/ml).

**TABLE 1. DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS AT TIME OF ENROLLMENT**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group 1: Infected with M. tuberculosis (n = 12)</th>
<th>Group 2: Infected with HIV (n = 12)</th>
<th>Group 3: Infected with M. tuberculosis and HIV (n = 12)</th>
<th>Group 4: Infected with HIV, Receiving ART (n = 12)</th>
<th>TB008: Not Infected with M. tuberculosis or HIV (n = 24)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male, n (%)</td>
<td>9 (75)</td>
<td>1 (8.3)</td>
<td>1 (8.3)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnic origin, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>10 (83.3)</td>
<td>1 (8.3)</td>
<td>1 (8.3)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black African</td>
<td>2 (16.7)</td>
<td>12 (100)</td>
<td>11 (91.7)</td>
<td>10 (83.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed race</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1 (8.3)</td>
<td>2 (16.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean BMI, kg/m² (range)</td>
<td>30.5 (21.1–47.5)</td>
<td>29.6 (21.5–42.8)</td>
<td>29.3 (21.3–35.9)</td>
<td>32.0 (22.3–43.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median TST induration, mm (IQR)</td>
<td>22 (20–26)</td>
<td>0 (0–5)</td>
<td>20 (15–21)</td>
<td>10 (0–16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ESAT-6/CFP-10 response</td>
<td>354 (194–514)</td>
<td>27 (8–45)</td>
<td>452 (165–739)</td>
<td>114 (25–202)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean CD4 count, cells/mm³ (95% CI)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>519 (432–607)</td>
<td>481 (357–605)</td>
<td>578 (477–679)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean plasma VL, RNA copies/ml (95% CI)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>18,405 (2,811–34,000)</td>
<td>17,289 (365–34,213)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Definition of abbreviations**: ART = antiretroviral therapy; BMI = body mass index; CI = confidence interval; IQR = interquartile range; M. tuberculosis = Mycobacterium tuberculosis; N/A = not applicable; PBMCs = peripheral blood mononuclear cells; SFCs = spot-forming cells; TST = tuberculin skin test; VL = viral load.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th> </th>
<th>Group 1</th>
<th>Group 2</th>
<th>Group 3</th>
<th>Group 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Local AEs, total</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Redness</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swelling</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warmth</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desquamation</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systemic AEs, total</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feeling unwell</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tiredness</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feeling feverish</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Documented fever &gt; 37°C</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arthralgia</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Headache</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myalgia</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Backache</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper respiratory tract infection</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GIT symptoms</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cellulitis</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Night sweat</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abnormal safety bloods</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TST site reaction</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Definition of abbreviations**: AEs = adverse events; GIT = gastrointestinal; pVL = plasma viral load; TST = tuberculin skin test.

*Compared with group 1: P < 0.017.

**TABLE 2. ADVERSE EVENTS SELF-REPORTED ON AT LEAST ONE DAY DURING THE FIRST 28 DAYS AFTER MVA85A VACCINATION**

*Compared with group 1: P < 0.001, Fisher exact tests.

*These were in the same individual. Many TB-endemic countries, these targets are feasible only if new TB vaccines are also safe and effective in HIV-infected persons.

Fourteen new TB vaccines have entered human clinical trials (9, 10). Few trials of these products have targeted individuals with LTBI and/or HIV infection. MVA85A was the first novel TB vaccine to enter safety and immunogenicity studies in persons with LTBI (11). Three other novel TB vaccines, M72 and Aeras402 (both unpublished, ClinicalTrials.gov reference numbers NCT00707967 and NCT01017536, respectively) and heat-inactivated Mycobacterium vaccae (12, 13), are being or have been evaluated in HIV-infected subjects, the latter in a phase III efficacy trial.

Here we describe the safety profile and characterize the immune response induced by MVA85A vaccination of M. tuberculosis- and/or HIV-infected persons in a setting where TB and HIV are endemic.
copies/ml) for at least 1 year, and two most recent CD4 counts greater than 300 cells/mm$^3$, with a CD4 count nadir greater than 100 cells/mm$^3$.

**Vaccination**

MVA85A, contract manufactured at Impfstoffwerk Dessau-Tornau (IDT Biologika GmbH, Dessau-Rosslau, Germany), was administered intradermally at $5 \times 10^7$ plaque-forming units (70 μl) over the deltoid region of the left arm.

**Follow-up and Safety Evaluation**

After vaccination, participants were evaluated on-site for at least 60 minutes. They also returned on Days 2, 7, 14, 28, 56, 84, 168, and 364 postvaccination for evaluation. Blood for safety evaluation, which included biochemistry and hematology tests, was collected on Days 7 and 84. CD4 counts and pVL were measured in HIV-positive participants at screening, on Day 0, and at all follow-up visits, except Day 2. Assessment of vaccine safety was done as previously described (14); details are in the online supplement.

**Immunogenicity Evaluations and Data Analysis**

Blood was collected for immunogenicity tests 7–14 days before vaccination and on Days 7, 14, 28, 56, 84, 168, and 364 postvaccination. The ex vivo IFN-γ ELISPOT assay was the primary immunological end point, and was performed as previously described (14). A whole blood intracellular cytokine staining assay was performed as described previously (14) prevaccination (Day 0) and on Days 7, 28, 84, and 364 postvaccination; details are in the online supplement.

**Figure 1.** Plasma HIV RNA load and CD4 counts before and after MVA85A vaccination in HIV-infected individuals from (A) group 2, (B) group 3, and (C) group 4. For individuals in group 4, plasma viral load (pVL) and CD4 counts recorded by their regular physicians before enrollment into this trial are shown as prevaccination (pre-) visits. Individual vaccinates are represented by each line.
RESULTS

Participants

We screened 340 adults and 48 were eligible for inclusion at 12 per group (CONSORT diagram; see Figure E1 in the online supplement). Demographic characteristics are shown in Table 1. More participants in group 1 were male and of mixed race, compared with mostly female black Africans in the other groups. No other demographic differences were observed between the groups.

Safety of MVA85A Vaccination

All vaccinees reported adverse events (AEs) at the injection site. The most common local reactions were redness and swelling (100% of vaccinees), pruritus (81%), and desquamation (79%); 48% also reported pain and 25% reported warmth. All local AEs were mild in nature; the majority had resolved by Day 14 and all had resolved by Day 28. A greater proportion of AEs in group 4 were classified as moderate, compared with groups 1 and 2 (Table 2). Frequencies of local or systemic AEs were not different between groups.

Systemic AEs peaked on Day 2, had resolved by Day 28, and included headache (27%), myalgia (15%), feeling unwell (15%), and tiredness (13%). Others included a subjective perception of fever, documented fever, arthralgia, and backache. Those considered definitely or probably related to vaccination occurred within 24–48 hours of vaccination and had resolved by Day 7. One participant, who had had a 24-mm TST reading at screening 12 days before enrollment, was noted to have a superficial infection at the TST site 7 days postvaccination. This was treated with an antiseptic cream and had resolved by the Day 14 visit. Because no reaction was documented at vaccination, this adverse event was classified as possibly related.

In addition, a case of shingles occurred 13 days postvaccination in a group 4 vaccinee.

Although we observed some fluctuations in CD4 count and pVL in groups 2 and 3, and in CD4 count in group 4 (Figure 1), these were similar to fluctuations recorded before MVA85A vaccination, and typical of variation in untreated, chronic HIV infection (15). The participants remained clinically well and no changes were made to their ART regimens.

A group 3 participant experienced a drop in CD4 count from 380 cells/mm$^3$ prevaccination to 285 cells/mm$^3$ 7 days postvaccination. Although the CD4 count had returned to prevaccination levels by Day 14, it had decreased below 300 cells/mm$^3$ by Day 28 and remained consistently at this level throughout the follow-up period. This change was classified as a mild AE, possibly vaccine related. The participant was clinically well, the CD4 percentage remained unchanged, and the pVL decreased.

Detectable pVLs, all less than 250 RNA copies/ml, were observed on single occasions in three group 4 participants between 14 and 56 days postvaccination. In the former, detectable pVLs below 2,700 RNA copies/ml persisted throughout the follow-up period. Whether this was due to nonadherence to ART was unknown. These were not considered clinically significant by their regular physicians and were classified as mild

Figure 2. Effect of underlying Mycobacterium tuberculosis (M. tb) infection on Ag85A-specific IFN-γ enzyme-linked immunospot (ELISPOT) responses in adults who received a single intradermal dose of $5 \times 10^7$ plaque-forming units of MVA85A. (A) Longitudinal responses in individual participants from group 1 (n = 12, M tb infected) and M. tb-uninfected adults from trial TB008 (n = 24 [16]). All 12 group 1 participants had positive IFN-γ ELISPOT responses to Ag85A 7 days postvaccination (a stringent cutoff for positive response of 50 spot-forming cells [SFCs] per million peripheral blood mononuclear cells [PBMCs] was applied). (B) Medians (lines) and interquartile ranges (IQR, error bars) of Ag85A-specific IFN-γ ELISPOT responses. (C) Longitudinal responses in individual participants from group 2 (n = 12, HIV infected, M. tb uninfected) and group 3 (n = 12, M. tb and HIV infected). Nine participants from each group had positive IFN-γ ELISPOT responses to Ag85A 7 days postvaccination. (D) Medians (lines) and IQRs (error bars) of Ag85A-specific IFN-γ ELISPOT responses. Area under curve (AUC) comparisons of the groups were evaluated by Mann-Whitney U test. An adjusted $P$ value of less than 0.025 was considered significant.
AEs. CD4 counts in group 4 participants did not increase or decrease significantly during the 1-year study follow-up.

Two serious AEs (SAEs) were recorded during follow-up. One was a right-sided cerebrovascular accident 10 months postvaccination in a group 2 participant, which was independently assessed as being “not related” to vaccination. The second was the admission of a group 3 participant to a state specialist TB hospital for TB of the spine, diagnosed 6 months postvaccination. On further investigation it was found that, contrary to the history given at screening, the participant had previously received treatment for pulmonary TB and had been receiving ART, but stopped treatment 3 months before study enrollment. The participant recommenced ART 1 month postvaccination. This SAE was also independently assessed as being “not related” to vaccination.

Effect of Underlying M.tb Infection on MVA85A-induced Response

We previously showed that MVA85A vaccination induces robust and highly durable T-cell responses in M.tb-uninfected adults (Trial TB008 [16]). To investigate how underlying LTBI affects the MVA85A-induced T-cell response, we compared the magnitude and durability of Ag85A-specific T cells in M.tb-infected vaccinees (group 1) with M.tb-uninfected individuals from the same field site, who received 5 × 10^7 plaque-forming units of MVA85A in the previous TB008 study (Table 1) [16]. The Ag85A-specific T-cell response measured before MVA85A vaccination by IFN-γ ELISPOT assay, was higher in M.tb-infected, compared with M.tb-uninfected, adults (P = 0.0002; Figures 2A and 2B). A similar result was observed in HIV-infected individuals; those coinfected with M.tb (group 3) had higher prevaccination Ag85A-specific T-cell responses than individuals infected with HIV only (group 2, P = 0.0072; Figures 2A and 2B). However, comparison of the kinetics of the longitudinal postvaccination T-cell responses by area-under-curve analysis revealed no significant differences between M.tb-infected and uninfected vaccinees (Figures 2B and 2D). Similarly, responses at any given postvaccination time point were not different (data not shown).

Effect of Underlying HIV Infection on MVA85A-induced Response

Next, we compared the magnitude and durability of the MVA85A-induced T-cell response in HIV-infected but M.tb-uninfected...
vaccines (group 2) and HIV-uninfected, *M. tb*-uninfected vaccinees from the TB008 trial. Prevaccination Ag85A-specific T-cell frequencies were higher in uninfected, compared with HIV-infected, adults (*P* = 0.0018; Figure 3A). HIV infection also had an effect on the durability of the MVA85A-induced response; longitudinal postvaccination T-cell responses in HIV-infected vaccinees were lower compared with HIV-uninfected vaccinees (Figures 3A and 3B). In addition, concomitant HIV infection markedly affected the magnitude of T-cell responses in individuals with LTBI. Prevaccination Ag85A-specific T-cell frequencies were lower in HIV-infected, LTBI individuals (*P* = 0.0014) and longitudinal postvaccination responses were lower in HIV/*M. tb*-coinfected vaccinees compared with HIV-negative, *M. tb*-infected vaccinees (Figures 3C and 3D). No significant association was observed between Ag85A-specific T-cell frequencies and CD4 counts (data not shown).

Importantly, however, the MVA85A-induced T-cell response was long-lived in vaccinees from groups 1–3, including HIV-infected vaccinees. Frequencies of IFN-γ-expressing Ag85A-specific T cells observed up to 1 year postvaccination exceeded those observed prevaccination (Figure 3E).

Figure 4. Effect of antiretroviral treatment in HIV-infected adults on MVA85A-induced Ag85A-specific IFN-γ enzyme-linked immunospot (ELISPOT) responses. (A) Longitudinal responses in individual participants from group 2 (n = 12, HIV infected) and group 4 (n = 12, HIV infected, receiving antiretroviral therapy [ART]). Ten group 4 participants had positive IFN-γ ELISPOT responses to Ag85A 7 days postvaccination. (B) Medians (lines) and IQRs (error bars) of Ag85A-specific IFN-γ ELISPOT responses. Area under curve (AUC) comparisons of the groups were evaluated by Mann-Whitney U test. An adjusted *P* value of less than 0.025 was considered significant for the AUC comparisons. (C) Comparisons between the Ag85A-specific T-cell response before (Day 0) and 1 year after (Day 364) MVA85A vaccination in group 4 (n = 12, HIV infected, receiving ART). Differences were evaluated by Wilcoxon matched pairs test. SFC = spot-forming cells.

Effect of ART on MVA85A-induced Response
We also assessed the Ag85A-specific T-cell response in HIV-infected persons who were stable while receiving ART. Magnitudes of the pre- or postvaccination response to Ag85A were not different in ART-treated and untreated vaccinees (Figures 4A and 4B). The MVA85A-induced response was also durable in persons receiving ART; Ag85A-specific T-cell frequencies observed up to 1 year postvaccination exceeded those observed prevaccination (Figure 4C).

Relationship between *M. tb* Sensitization and T-cell Response
To explore whether the degree of *M. tb* sensitization may be associated with T-cell responses, we investigated associations between size of TST induration, measured during screening, and magnitudes of prevaccination T-cell responses. The size of TST induration correlated directly with prevaccination T-cell responses to ESAT-6 and CFP-10 (Figure 5A), as well as with Ag85A (Figure 5B). We also examined whether the prevaccination magnitude of Ag85A-specific T cells was a determinant of the subsequent MVA85A-induced response to Ag85A. Frequencies of Ag85A-specific T cells, detected 7 days (Figure 5C) as well as 364 days (Figure 5D) postvaccination, correlated directly with the prevaccination response to Ag85A.

Characterization of CD4 T Cells
To assess the qualitative characteristics of MVA85A-induced T cells, we measured CD4 or CD8 T-cell coexpression of IFN-γ, TNF-α, IL-2, and IL-17 by flow cytometry (Figure E2). The Ag85A-specific CD4 T-cell response comprised multiple subsets. The predominant MVA85A-induced subset in all four groups coexpressed IFN-γ, TNF-α, and IL-2 (Figure 6A and Figure E2). In LTBI vaccinees (group 1), CD4 T cells coexpressing IFN-γ and TNF-α, IFN-γ and IL-2, or IFN-γ or TNF-α alone were also induced (Figure 6A). The latter CD4 T-cell subsets were not readily detectable in groups 2, 3, and 4, which had lower postvaccination frequencies of all these T-cell subsets. A small subset of CD4 T cells that coexpressed IL-17 with helper T-cell type 1 (Th1) cytokines was also detected in group 1 (Figure 6A).

Although no intergroup differences were detected in frequencies of total cytokine-positive CD4 T cells (Figure 6B), total CD4 T cells expressing IFN-γ, TNF-α, or IL-2 were consistently less frequent in HIV-infected vaccinees (not TB infected and not receiving ART, group 2), compared with vaccinees in the other three groups (Figures 6C–6E). Notably, Ag85A-specific CD4 T cells in group 2 vaccinees waned to low levels by Day 364 postvaccination. Underlying LTBI in HIV-infected vaccinees appeared to result in greater postvaccination Ag85A-specific CD4 T-cell frequencies compared with HIV-infected vaccinees without LTBI, although significance was lost after correction for multiple comparisons. Ag85A-specific CD4 T-cell subsets expressing IFN-γ or TNF-α were not different in untreated or ART-treated HIV-infected vaccinees (Figures 6C and 6D). However, the frequencies of IL-2–expressing CD4 T cells were significantly higher in the ART group throughout the follow-up period, compared with untreated HIV-infected vaccinees (Figure 6E).

IL-17–expressing CD4 T cells were not different between the groups (Figure 6F). HIV-infected, group 2 vaccinees had lower frequencies of polyfunctional CD4 T cells compared with group 1 (Figure 6G).

Ag85A-specific CD8 T cells were not detected above background frequencies in any of the four groups (Figure E3).
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Figure 5. The degree of sensitization to Mycobacterium tuberculosis (M.\textit{tb}) is an important determinant in the MVA85A-induced T-cell response. (A) Association between size of tuberculin skin test (TST) induration, measured at screening, and the summed early secretory antigenic target (ESAT)-6 and culture filtrate protein (CFP)-10-specific T-cell response, measured before MVA85A vaccination by IFN-\gamma enzyme-linked immunospot (ELISPOT) assay. (B) Association between size of TST induration and the Ag85A-specific T-cell response before MVA85A vaccination. (C) Association between the Ag85A-specific T-cell response before and 7 days after MVA85A vaccination. (D) Association between the Ag85A-specific T-cell response before and 1 year (364 d) after MVA85A vaccination. For (A–D), data from participants in all four groups were combined. Correlations were assessed by Spearman correlation test.

DISCUSSION

We studied MVA85A vaccination of HIV-infected adults with or without \textit{M.\textit{tb}} infection, and those receiving ART. Five major findings emerged from our study: (1) MVA85A was well tolerated in HIV and \textit{M.\textit{tb}}-infected persons; (2) MVA85A vaccination did not influence the effectiveness of ART; (3) MVA85A vaccination induced robust, long-lived, and predominantly polyfunctional CD4 T-cell responses in all groups, and these responses were lowest in HIV-infected vaccinees; (4) the pre-vaccination T-cell response, which was associated with \textit{M.\textit{tb}} sensitization, was a major determinant of the postvaccination response; and (5) successful ART of HIV-infected individuals did not significantly improve the MVA85A-induced response.

We observed no vaccine-related SAEs on MVA85A vaccination of HIV-immunocompromised individuals with or without LTBI. Fluctuations in pVL or CD4 count were within ranges previously reported in untreated, chronic HIV infection (15). The effectiveness of ART in group 4 participants was not markedly affected by MVA85A vaccination; pVL blips were similar to those observed after vaccination with other vaccines (17–19). These fluctuations were not considered clinically significant and the HIV physicians managing the care of these individuals made no adjustments to their treatment regimen. Although interpretation of these data is limited by the small sample size in our study, this is an important finding given the increasing number of persons receiving ART in developing countries. Because the risk of TB in HIV-infected persons receiving ART remains higher than for HIV-uninfected persons (2), effective TB vaccines are urgently required for this population.

The SAE involving diagnosis of TB of the spine was classified as not related to vaccination. This was based on the distal locality of disease and the 6-month timeframe between MVA85A vaccination and diagnosis. The individual had also previously received ART, but had stopped treatment 3 months before being screened for inclusion in the study. In addition, it emerged that the person had a previous episode of TB, a major risk factor for further episodes of TB in HIV-infected persons (20).

With respect to the case of shingles in a group 4 participant, no CD4 count decrease was observed after MVA85A vaccination. To date, a total of 2,012 subjects and 108 HIV-infected adults have received MVA85A, with no reports of herpes zoster reactivation (H. McShane, personal communication). Further, to our knowledge no associations between other vaccinations and zoster reactivation are described. On the basis of these factors, this AE was classified as not related to vaccination.

No vaccine-related SAEs were recorded in immunocompetent individuals with documented LTBI. Given the hypothetical concerns of immunopathogenic inflammation on administration of mycobacterial antigens to individuals with LTBI, as originally described by Robert Koch (21), this is an important finding. Nevertheless, we feel that reactions at the TST site should be carefully monitored in novel TB vaccine trials conducted in \textit{M.\textit{tb}}-infected persons. Our data add to the safety results of MVA85A in \textit{M.\textit{tb}}-infected adults from the United Kingdom, which also reported no vaccine-related immunopathology (11).

Our results complement the excellent safety profile of MVA85A in adults (11, 16, 22, 23), adolescents, children (14), and infants (24, 25) and pave the way for safety assessments of MVA85A in larger groups of \textit{M.\textit{tb}}- and/or HIV-infected persons.

MVA85A induced predominantly polyfunctional CD4 T-cell responses in all groups. The pattern of IFN-\gamma, TNF-\alpha, IL-2, and IL-17 expression by CD4 T cells observed in \textit{M.\textit{tb}}-infected and/or HIV-infected vaccinees is similar to those reported previously (14). However, lower response frequencies were observed in HIV-infected individuals. It should be noted that participation was limited to HIV subjects with CD4 counts greater than...
300 cells/μL. The specific Th1 response in HIV-infected vaccinees also waned to low levels, although Ag85A-specific IFN-γ-producing cells still exceeded prevaccination levels 1 year post-vaccination. M.tb-specific CD4 T cells may be preferentially infected and depleted after HIV infection (26) (reviewed in Reference 27). It is unknown what the consequences of such lower responses on immunity against M.tb might be. In HIV-infected individuals, the elevated risk for developing TB may be associated with lower and functionally impaired mycobacteria-specific Th1 responses in the lungs (28). However, we showed that frequencies of polyfunctional Th1 cytokine-expressing T cells, measured 10 weeks after BCG vaccination in peripheral blood, did not correlate with risk of TB in infants (29). We also showed that T-cell functional capacity was associated with antigen load. Polyfunctionality of mycobacteria-specific T cells was highest in persons with LTBI, and progressively decreased in patients with smear-negative, and smear-positive pulmonary TB, respectively (30). Murine studies of vaccine-induced T-cell responses and their effect on control of M.tb burden have reported variable and contrasting outcomes (31–34). Until correlates of protection are identified in trials of effective vaccines, T-cell outcomes measured here may only be interpreted as vaccine take, even though these measures are known to be important in protection (35).

We observed higher prevaccination frequencies of Ag85A-specific T cells in M.tb-infected adults, compared with uninfected adults studied previously at our field site (16). Furthermore, the magnitude of Ag85A-specific T cells correlated with the degree of M.tb sensitization and the prevaccination Ag85A-specific T-cell response correlated with the postvaccination response. These data highlight the importance of measuring prevaccination responses to mycobacterial antigens in TB vaccine trials, and imply that immunogenicity should be interpreted in the context of this preexisting response and levels of mycobacterial exposure. This is supported by our previous observation of greater magnitudes of Ag85A-specific T cells before MVA85A vaccination in adults and adolescents, compared with infants (24). We proposed that the frequency of Ag85A-specific cells before MVA85A vaccination may reflect BCG priming in infancy, while reflecting exposure to environmental mycobacteria and/or M.tb in adolescents and adults. Our results from M.tb-infected adults reinforce this finding. A limitation of these intergroup comparisons was the small number of individuals per group, which may have provided inadequate statistical power for detecting differences.
It has been proposed that the BCG-induced response may be blocked or masked in developing countries because of preexisting sensitization to mycobacterial antigens (36, 37). We observed that greater mycobacterial sensitization, in our case mostly _M. tb_, was associated with greater MVA85A-induced Th1 responses. Whether this also applies to BCG-induced responses is not known. However, boosting the BCG-induced response with BCG elicited lower Ag85A-specific T-cell responses than boosting with MVA85A (38), suggesting that vaccine formulation or nature of antigen may be important variables. Nevertheless, we propose that masking due to mycobacterial sensitization may be less important for vaccination with MVA85A, than has been suggested for BCG. Interestingly, sensitization of mice with nontuberculous mycobacteria interfered with immune responses induced by subsequent vaccination with BCG, but not a subunit TB vaccine (39). Further investigation is required to understand this better.

Compared with ART-naive HIV-infected vaccinees, MVA85A vaccination of HIV-infected, ART-treated individuals did not induce profoundly greater frequencies of Th1 responses, with the exception of IL-2–expressing CD4 T cells. Loss of IL-2 expression by HIV-specific T cells is a well-described consequence of immune activation associated with high HIV replication (40, 41). Furthermore, IL-2–expressing CD4 cells, which were predominant among _M. tb_-specific cells, were shown to be more susceptible to HIV infection than macrophage inflammatory protein-1α–expressing CD4 cells (26). ART-mediated suppression of HIV replication may thus preferentially restore IL-2–expressing mycobacteriaspecific CD4 T cells, which may be important for immunity against _M. tb_ (26).

Ag85A-specific CD8 T cells were not readily detectable after MVA85A vaccination. This contrasts with some previous MVA85A trials in different populations, in which low frequencies of specific CD8 T cells were reported (23, 24, 38). We propose that frequencies of CD8 T cells induced by MVA85A were too low to be detected with our ex vivo assay systems. This is supported by the observation that _in vitro_ expansion of specific T cells enabled detection of MVA85A-induced CD8 T cells (38).

In conclusion, we show that MVA85A is safe and immunogenic in HIV- and/or _M. tb_-infected adults from a region where TB is endemic. These data support further studies to evaluate the safety and efficacy of MVA85A in HIV-infected and _M. tb_-infected populations.
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